

	*****		
Proposal Title :	Camden Local Environmental Road	Plan 2010 (Amendment No.	. 21) Gledswood Hills, Lot 4, 190 Raby
Proposal Summary	from RU2 Rural Landscape to	a range of residential zone: arge Lot Residential, togethe	No.190 Raby Road, Gledswood Hills s: R1 General Residential, R2 Low er with the introduction of associated
PP Number :	PP_2013_CAMDE_014_00	Dop File No :	13/11636
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received	<b>19-Jul-2013</b>	LGA covered :	Camden
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Camden Council
State Electorate :	CAMDEN	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
_ocation Details			
Street : 1	90 Raby Road		
Suburb : C	Gledswood Hills City :	Camden	Postcode : 2566
Land Parcel :	ot 4 DP260703		
DoP Planning Ol	ficer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Tai Ta		
Contact Number :	0298601567		
Contact Email :	tai.ta@planning.nsw.gov.au		
<b>RPA Contact Del</b>	tails		
Contact Name :	Mary-Anne Madden		
Contact Number :	0245547803		
Contact Email :	may-anne.madden@camden.ns	w.gov.au	
DoP Project Man	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Terry Doran		
Contact Number :	0298601149		
Contact Email :	terry.doran@planning.nsw.gov.a	au	
Land Release Da			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South West subregion	Consistent with Strategy	: No

aby Road			
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	260	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	260
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Date of Receipt The planning proposal was rece and council responded on 19 J	-	r information was requested
	Context The planning proposal seeks to General Residential, R2 Low De Surrounding land has been pro adding weight to the proposal. I the surrounding land and provi- housing choice.	nsity Residential and R5 La gressively rezoned to mainly Rezoning of the site will prov	rge Lot Residential. /: R1, some R2 and R5, thereby vide a zoning consistent with
	Internal Consultation		
	No objections raised by the Dep Division.	partment's SLR Strategies &	Land Release
	No objections raised by the Dep Endorsed for the Director Gene to apply (see clause 6.1 of the L	ral's satisfactory arrangeme	+
External Supporting Notes :			
dequacy Assessment			
Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes			
Comment :	The objectives of the plannin	g proposal are to:	
	(1) protect the scenic and lan from public land such as k	dscape character of the area	a, particularly viewed
	(2) achieve staged, orderly de		the site's

aby Road			
	opportunities and	constraints to integrate with neighbouring sites;	
		development that is sympathetic and complementary to the the Gledswood Hills land;	
	(4) create a desirable	place for all ages and a wide range of household types;	
	(5) optimise the use o	of infrastructure; and	
	(6) provide a choice o	of housing.	
Explanation of provis	ions provided - s55	i(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of provi	sions provided? Yes		
Comment :			
Justification - s55 (2)(	(c)		
a) Has Council's strategy	been agreed to by the C	Director General? No	
b) S.117 directions identif	fied by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones	
* May need the Director G	General's agreement	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones	
		2.3 Heritage Conservation	
		3.1 Residential Zones	
		3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport	
		3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes	
		4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection	
		6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	
		6.3 Site Specific Provisions	
		7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Is the Director General	I's agreement required?	Yes	
c) Consistent with Standa	rd Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : <b>Yes</b>	
d) Which SEPPs have the	RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land	
		SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004	
		SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004	
		SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007	
		SEPP (Major Projects) 2005	
		SEPP (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006	
		SEPP (Temporary Structures and Places of Public Entertainment) 2007	
		SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury-Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)	
		SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	
e) List any other	The site is 40 hecta	res in area and located adjacent to the Sydney Catchment Authority	
matters that need to		anal. The canal conveys water from the upper nepean dams to the	
be considered :		Plant. Consultation with the SCA will therefore be required. Further,	
	the canal is a State recommended.	listed heritage item and consultation with OEH, in this regard, is	
	The side has not bee	w the sublest of an emvironmental site accordment in relation to	
		en the subject of an environmental site assessment in relation to tion. It is acknowledged that the site has been the subject of two	
	,	f fill activities, which may have potential for contamination, requiring	
	further investigation	n and potential remediation action.	
	The landscape visua	al analysis accompanying the proposal, examines the proposal's	

	<ul> <li>impact upon the ridge line (Scenic Hills) and beyond. The analysis concludes that there will be no visual impact from the Campbelltown LGA. However, the analysis does comment (p.29) that it is possible to identify some vertical elements within the site that occur at or near the ridge top. The analysis recommends that some controls be placed on development along the ridge line to ensure that development does not become visible from areas east of the ridge. However, it is noted that the proposal does not address this matter in detail and that it is proposed to apply a 9.5m building height over the entire site. It is recommended that council reconsiders the proposed imposition of a single building height across the site and/or clearly indicates in the planning proposal the manner in which it intends to control development to protect the scenic qualities of the site.</li> <li>By virtue of its landlocked position the site's development will rely on the future extension of Gregory Hills Drive, or alternatively an internal road linking the site with Raby Road to the northern part of the site. Therefore, a traffic study investigating the options of accessibility to the site from Raby Road, or Gregory Hills Drive, is warranted.</li> </ul>
Have inconsistencies with it	tems a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS:
	Direction 1.2 Rural Zones
	The direction applies as the planning proposal seeks to rezone RU2 Rural Landscape zoned land to a mix of residential, low density residential and large lot residential zones and is therefore inconsistent with the direction.
	However, it is considered that the inconsistency is justified as:
	<ul> <li>the site is immediately adjacent to the Turner Road Precinct of the South West Growth Centre and the El Caballo Blanco/Gledswood/East Side housing release areas (these sites were historically rural zoned land and have been rezoned to allow urban residential development);</li> <li>the subject land is effectively surrounded on three sides by urban development;</li> <li>the subject land is one of only three small rural sites located within the Camden LGA between Leppington in the north and the future Gregory Hills Drive</li> </ul>
	to the south; . the subject land does not currently provide for productive rural activities, with the exception of occasional agistment; and
	. although the site is of sufficient size to accommodate a cattle feed lot, it
	is no longer considered appropriate for such a use (or similar), given the location and nature of surrounding residential uses.
	In these circumstances, it is recommended that the Director General's delegate agree to the inconsistency as a minor matter.
	Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	The direction is relevant as it will prohibit mining, petroleum production or extractive industries on the site.
	The planning proposal notes that a recent announcement has been made by the NSW Government to introduce stricter regulations on coal seam gas mining. This will have the effect of preventing the Camden Gas Project 3 Northern Expansion within the 2 kilometre exclusion zone, which would prohibit the site from this mining activity.
	While acknowledging this intention, it is recommended that council consults with the Department of Primary industries, to ensure that the proposed prohibition of mining activities will not be inconsistent with the direction, and if necessary, council to satisfactorily address the section 117 direction.

	Direction 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	The direction requires a planning proposal to include provisions that facilitate the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas.
	The planning proposal (p.22) indicates that there is a scattering of remnant eucalypt growth of Cumberland Plain Woodland on the site, with a small patch of locally significant core habitat located towards the northern extremity of the site. However, in an assessment of s.117 directions, the planning proposal indicates that there are no site features that warrant consideration of the application of an environmental protection zone (see p.43).
	To ensure that the direction is satisfied, it is recommended that council consults with OEH for its views, and, if appropriate, takes necessary action to satisfy the requirements of the direction.
	Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation
	The planning proposal indicates that there are no known items of Aboriginal or European heritage present on the site. Notwithstanding, the planning proposal indicates that an aboriginal archaeological study will be prepared. This is recommended in this report.
	Further, the Sydney Catchment Authority (SCA) open upper canal is located next to the site. The canal is a State listed heritage item and consultation with OEH, in this particular regard, is recommended.
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	While the planning proposal indicates that the subject land is not identified as being bushfire prone on council's bushfire prone lands map, it does acknowledge that the site abuts land to the east within the Campbelitown LGA that is busfire prone. Consequently, it is recommended that council consults with Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service.
Mapping Provided - s5	5(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment :	<ul> <li>Formal LEP mapping to support the rezoning is not provided but the RPA indicates in the planning proposal that the following maps will be amended: <ul> <li>(1) land zoning map to show part of the site as R1 General Residential, part R2 Low Density Residential, and part R5 Large Lot residential;</li> <li>(2) height of buildings Map to provide for a 9.5 metre height limit across the site; and</li> <li>(3) a lot size map to show a minimum of lot size of 450 sq.m over the proposed R1 General Residential portion, a minimum lot size of 700 sq.m over the R2 Low Density portion, and a minimum of 2,000 sq.m over the proposed R5 Large Lot Residential portion of the site.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	A site locality map (p.9), site context diagrams (pp.10/12), zoning diagram (p.16) and indicative layout diagram (p.19), together with other diagrams, are provided. It is considered that these maps/diagrams are adequate to support the proposal. However, the label "The" is required to be amended to show "The site" in a number of diagrams within the proposal.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consultation been proposed? Yes		
Comment :		
The RPA also proposes consultation with:		
	Office of Environment and Heritage (Environment Branch) Office of Environment and Heritage (Heritage Branch) Sydney Catchment Authority Roads and Maritime Service.	
Additional Director General's requirements		
Are there any additiona	I Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of	the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	t the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :		
Proposal Assessment		
Principal LEP:		
Due Date :		
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Camden Local Environmental Plan 2010 was notified on 3 September 2010.	
Assessment Criteria	I	
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is required to rezone the land from RU2 Rural Landscape to R1 General Residential, R2 Low Density Residential and R5 Large Lot Residential. The planning proposal is the only statutory planning means to effect a rezoning of the site.	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is not inconsistent with a number of State Government strategic initiatives and the objectives and actions of the South West Subregion Draft Subregional Strategy.	
Environmental social economic impacts :	Rezoning of the site will provide an opportunity for housing choice thereby conferring a social benefit on the community.	
	The planning proposal indicates that a social impact statement will be prepared should a Gateway Determination be issued for the planning proposal to proceed.	
	In terms of economic benefits, the planning proposal will potentially result in employment opportunities in the construction industry.	
	Consistent with the State Government's objectives to enhance housing supply in appropriate locations.	

ssessment Proces	55			
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	18 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Sydney Catchment Authority Department of Education and Co Office of Environment and Herit NSW Department of Primary Ind Department of Trade and Invest Integral Energy Fire and Rescue NSW NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW - Roads and Sydney Water Transgrid Adjoining LGAs Other	age lustries - Minerals and Petro ment	leum	
Is Public Hearing by the				
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ? Yes			
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(	'b) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional s	studies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasor	าร :			
Identify any internal cor	nsultations, if required :			
Residential Land Rele	ase (MDP)			
Is the provision and fun	ding of state infrastructure relevant t	to this plan? Yes		
If Yes, reasons :	The Regional Team has reques Coordination Unit of the Depar has responded that satisfactor question is connected to an ex Camden Local Environmental	tment on the planning prop y arrangements are require disting Urban Release Area.	osal. Planning & C d for this site, as t	Coordination
cuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	me	ls Public
Camden Gledswood H	ills Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering	g Letter	No
	lanning Proposal (Am.21)Pt 1.pdf	Proposal		No
Camden Gledswood s	tudy1.pdf	Study		No
Camden Gledswood s		Study		No
Camden Gledswood J		Study December 21		No
<b>Council Minutes - 25 J</b>	une zu is.pui	Proposal Covering	y manai	Yes

#### Planning Team Recommendation Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions S.117 directions: **1.2 Rural Zones** 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation **3.1 Residential Zones 3.3 Home Occupations** 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 3.5 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 It is recommended that: Additional Information : . the Director General's delegate approves the inconsistency with section 117 direction 1.2 Rural Zones, on the basis that it is of minor significance; . delegation be given for council to exercise the Minister's plan making powers in this instance (council has indicated its intention to exercise delegation pursuant to Section 23 of the EP&A Act 1979). Further, it is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions: 1. The Planning Proposal be amended, as follows: (a) diagram labels be amended from 'The' to 'The site' where appearing in the proposal; (b) under 1.0 Introduction of the proposal, the words 'as a standalone matter' be clarified; (c) reference in the planning proposal (p.22) to the El Cabalo Blanco rezoning is updated; and (d) the word 'draft' be removed from the cover of the proposal. 2. community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the EP&A Act 1979 for a period of 28 days; 3. the time frame for completing the local environmental is to be 18 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination; 4. council is to clearly indicate within the planning proposal that clause 6.1 of Camden LEP 2010 will apply to the proposal and council is to investigate the need for the provision of State contributions in terms of Planning Circular PS 08-017 (23 December 2008). 5. council is to review the proposed imposition of a single height control for the site and is to consider a mix of height controls to protect the scenic nature of the site and/or clearly indicate in the planning proposal the proposed means to be employed to control housing form, location and height in this regard, particularly to protect views from the east of the site;

	6. council is to undertake the following studies:
	. contamination and salinity, . Aboriginal archaeology and significance, . an ecological assessment; . traffic/road access and public transport provision (note: in preparing this study, council is to consider the relevant provisions of section 117 direction 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport);
	7. council is to consult with the Department of Trade & Investment - Mineral Resources & Energy (Minerals & Petroleum) and, if necessary, review the proposal's consistency with section 117 direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries;
	<ol> <li>council is to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage and, if necessary, demonstrate consistency with section 117 Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones;</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>council is to consult with the Office of Environment and Heritage and the Sydney Catchment Authority, particularly in respect of the State listed heritage item: the Upper Water Canal;</li> </ol>
	10. council is to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service and give consideration to the provisions of section 117 direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection;
	11. further, council is to consult with the following:
	. Department of Education and Communities . Integral Energy . Trangrid . Fire and Rescue NSW . Transport for NSW - Road and Maritime Services . Sydney Water . Jemena
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal is required to rezone land currently zoned for rural use that is no longer reasonably viable. Progressive rezoning of lands surrounding the site to urban residential in recent years lends support to the proposal.
Signature:	ADOTAN
Printed Name:	TDORAN Date: $30/7/13$